

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In re: TEXTAINER PARTNERSHIP
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Master File No. C-05-00969-MMC
CLASS ACTION

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING
STEPHEN L. CRAIG AS LEAD
PLAINTIFF AND APPROVING HIS
SELECTION OF COUNSEL**

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL ACTIONS

The Court, having considered all motions filed for appointment of Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel, and good cause appearing, makes the following Order:

1. The Court finds that Stephen L. Craig satisfies the requirements of 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B) and is the “most adequate plaintiff” for the class. Therefore, the Court appoints Stephen L. Craig as Lead Plaintiff for the class.

2. Stephen L. Craig has retained Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener LLP and Abraham Fruchter & Twersky LLP to represent his interests and the interests of the class. The Court finds that these firms are well qualified to represent the interests of the class and, accordingly, appoints them as Lead Counsel.

3. Lead Counsel and counsel for the defendants shall meet and confer regarding whether: (a) a consolidated amended complaint will be filed; (b) the schedule for filing a

1 consolidated amended complaint (if any); and (c) the timing for the defendants to respond to the
2 operative complaint. A proposed schedule shall be jointly submitted by the parties within fifteen
3 (15) days from the date of this Order.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: ~~June~~ July 26, 2005

6 July 26, 2005



7 **HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY**
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28